ABSTRACT:
This study explores the role that natural history had in fashioning a Creole national identity on the eve of the Wars of Independence. It explores how these discourses allowed the Creole elites to imagine separate and distinct proto-national spaces, and how these trends persisted into the early Republican period. Creole naturalists offered utopian discourses that confirmed Creole patriots on the belief that the new nations were viable economic-political units, they also promoted the hope that each proto-national space was poised to become a major commercial emporium. Although America at large was presented as continent of natural wonders, Creole naturalists emphasized the singularity of each proto-national space, each different from the rest and exceptional. The very singularity of each colonial space allowed Creole naturalists to call for the development of localized and distinct sciences, which in turn, reinforced the senses of distinctiveness and difference. Finally, natural history was used to fashion rhetorically territories continuous, homogenous, and fully integrated.

INTRODUCTION
Since Benedict Anderson’s path-breaking analysis of the way in which modern nations have concealed their historicity behind a carefully crafted facade of naturalness and eternity, scholars are now prompted to speak of nations as cultural artifacts. Anderson has traced the origins of this new form of political community to the rise of print capitalism and of new cultural forms of apprehending time. According to Anderson, the spread of literacy, newspapers, and novels helped constitute markets of readers sharing common print vernaculars and common conceptions of simultaneous and homogeneous time. This process, at first unconscious and unintended but later replicated modularly from above by forms of official nationalism, created, in turn, new literary publics who began to conceive of themselves as ‘imagined communities’ able to communicate vicariously across time and space.¹

Disagreeing with scholars who locate the origin of nations and nationalism in nineteenth-century Europe, Anderson has further argued that Spanish American Creoles created the first modular form of a nation. Anderson contends that Creoles were able to imagine the soon-to-be emancipated colonies as all embracing communities even despite the fact their economic policies and racial views excluded the majority of the population made up of Blacks and Indians. Anderson discards a number of hypotheses that historians have offered to explain the fragmentation of the Spanish empire into several smaller sovereign political units in the aftermath of the wars of independence. He finds fault with those who argue that the administrative territorial divisions introduced in the colonies by the Spanish Crown (which became separate economic zones when the Bourbon reforms dismantled inter-colonial trade in the late colonial period) help explain the origin of the
new form of community. Although important in order to elucidate the logic of the future fragmentation and territorialization of Latin America, administrative and geographic divisions in and of themselves do not account for the emotional attachment and quotas of self-sacrifice and martyrdom that the creation of the new Latin American nations often demanded. He also dismisses those who have argued that the new imagined communities emerged as a result of Creoles’ resentment toward the Spanish Crown whose late colonial reforms sought to terminate secular patterns of Creole economic and political autonomy. According to Anderson what did the trick of creating these new forms of political community were colonial provincial newspapers and tightly restricted forms of Creole bureaucratic ‘pilgrimages’ to the metropolis. Limited vertical and horizontal mobility within the bureaucracy of the empire led to a Creole awareness of belonging to distinct political nations; newspapers, on the other hand, brought about a transformation of Creole perception of time that made it possible for them to see themselves as part of a larger homogenous public sphere. Anderson argues that Brazil did not fragment into smaller nations because it did not have colonial newspapers and because Brazilian Creoles moved freely laterally and vertically within the Portuguese imperial administration.²

Anderson, to be sure, has offered a powerful model, but one that has some limitations when applied to the Latin American case. Anderson, for one, explicitly denies the role of a Creole intelligentsia in the imagining of the new communities. Unlike the European nineteenth century intellectuals who by tracing and crafting linguistic and ethnic traditions invented nations, Spanish Americans, he argues, shared only one language and had no intelligentsia of which to speak. Anderson’s model is somewhat mechanical: Had more provincial newspapers been founded and had the pilgrimage of Creoles to the colonial capitals been more cramped more nations would have emerged. A second problem in Anderson’s model is that it does not explore the role played by new cultural apprehensions of space in constituting the nation as a new forms of political community.³

In this paper I restore the role of the late colonial Creole intelligentsia in the invention of Latin American nations. Moreover I explore the way in which new forms of apprehending space contributed to the development of national identities during the pre-political, cultural phase of Latin American nationalism.⁴ Using late colonial Latin America as example, I argue that subordinate elites in colonial settings have not only been preoccupied with crafting autonomous spiritual-cultural spaces of difference but also with marking differences by inventing altogether separate physical spaces.

I focus my study on the writings of numerous Creole naturalists. Many historians of Latin American science have already noticed the connections between the development of communities of Creole scientists and the rise of a discourse of national identity in the late colonial period. Thomas F. Glick, for example, has shown that Creole scientists spearheaded the patriotic wars of independence against Spain (1810-1824) and developed separate national identities much earlier than other sectors of the population. These naturalists participated in the wars in a number disproportionate to their small population. Glick has shown that as several Creole savants became integrated into new academic institutions around botanical expeditions to New Granada, New Spain, and Peru, they paradoxically became more aware of their status as colonized subjects. Seeking to develop separate identities from Spain they also created “patriotic” sciences. Glick argues that Creole naturalists articulated national sciences around the defense of Andean and Nahua
taxonomies (threatened by the expansion of new Linnean botanical classifications that arrived in the colonies along with tactless Spanish imperial scientists), the identification and development of local materia medica distinct from European ones, and resistance to European negative characterizations of the American climate. Yet Glick does not spend much time teasing out the content of these sciences and exploring how they could have contributed to creating the sort of emotional attachments and personal commitments that the new forms of political communities described by Anderson seemed to have demanded. Glick is more interested in tracing the dynamics and fate of these proto-national Creole scientific communities before, during, and after the wars. Other historians of ideas and science in Latin America such as Luis Arboleda, Jeanne Chenu, Eduardo Estrella, Antonello Gerbi, Roberto Moreno, Jose Luis Peset, and Jose Luis Saldaña have like Glick explored the connection between late colonial science and nationalism. But for all its insights, this scholarship does not offer broad historical syntheses but limited case studies.

This paper offers such synthesis and explores how the late Creole naturalists by creating new conceptions of space through the manipulation of representations of American nature helped to create the emotional attachments demanded by the new nations. The paper describes the inner architecture of late eighteenth-century Creole patriotic scientific traditions; it explores how these discourses allowed the Creole elites to imagine separate and distinct proto-national spaces, and how these trends persisted into the early republican period. It explores three different forms of representing and studying nature and how they framed, limited, or encouraged the imaginary constitution of Latin America pre-political national communities.

**NATURE AS PATRIOTIC SPACE**

In the second half of the eighteenth century profound transformations in Creole consciousness took place throughout colonial Spanish America. The Bourbon ‘reconquest’ of the New World alienated the Creole elites who began to seek in earnest identities separate from Spain. Creole patriots, scholars have argued, turned to religion and history to invent images of spiritually privileged, autonomous kingdoms rooted in a rich indigenous past and thus endowed with a classical antiquity of their own. Less attention has however been paid to the role that representations of nature played in the articulation of new forms of patriotic Creole consciousness.

Creoles had long imagined the New World as a nourishing mother from whose breasts the world had drawn sustenance not in the form of milk but of silver and gold. In the eyes of Creoles, tropical America was also an idealized Eden of temperate and bountiful lands that enjoyed perpetual Spring. By the second half of the eighteenth century however this old topos underwent changes; Creoles started to praise more the untapped economic potential of their botanical resources than their mineral treasures. Many events help explain this reorientation of Creole consciousness. Attuned to criticisms that presented Spain’s colonial economic model based on silver mining as wasteful and unproductive, Creole intellectuals became interested in the agricultural potential of the colonies and thus began to praise their botanical resources. Similarly the series of scientific expeditions that arrived in the Indies in the second half of the eighteenth century as part of a plan for economic renewal initiated by the new Bourbon dynasty “had the effect of pointing out the geographic peculiarity of the region...[producing] convincing
evidence for the inhabitants of the Spanish colonies that there was something special about the natural world which surrounded them.”\textsuperscript{12} Furthermore, Spanish imperial expeditionary policies were organized on the assumption that plants in the colonies were magical silver bullets that would create wind-fall profits for the crown. Hoping to establish profitable monopolies over American drugs and spices (including cinnamon, clove, and quinine), the designers of Spanish botanical policies presented the Indies as a store of marvelous yet untapped botanical resources. In 1777 Casimiro Gómez Ortega, the architect of Spanish scientific expeditions to the New World, promised José Gálvez, secretary of the Indies, that “twelve naturalists...spread over our possessions will produce in their expeditionary pilgrimages a profit incomparably greater than could an army of 100,000 strong fighting to add a few provinces to the Spanish empire.”\textsuperscript{13} Whereas the rest of the European countries concentrated their botanical research to increase agricultural productivity at home, Spain put most of its efforts to find “a panacea for the diseases of the century” through botanical research in its tropical colonies.\textsuperscript{14}

Another reason that made Creoles aware of the uniqueness of the organic environment in which they lived was the so-called “dispute of the New World.” In the 1750s the French naturalist Buffon argued that the New World was a humid, degenerating environment. Buffon, to be sure, was not the first European to represent the Indies as humid degenerate lands, but he and his followers were certainly the first to use this trope of tropical nature to explain a significant number of ‘mysteries’ that had long puzzled European audiences (i.e. why upon the arrival of the European had America been scarcely populated and Amerindian’s social development arrested in ‘the state of nature’; why had lands with multiple climates not originated more racially diverse groups, including black Amerindians; and why were American quadrupeds smaller and less numerous than those of the Old World). By arguing that America had recently emerged from the waters and that dangerous humid miasmas had caused all organic life in the continent to degenerate, Buffon solved these puzzles. He maintained that humidity had feminized the natives, and had shrunk all quadrupeds and taken their vigor away. Buffon’s view sparked a debate in Europe and in the colonies that forced Creoles to articulate patriotic natural histories to defend their homelands.\textsuperscript{15}

Spanish expeditionary policies, revisionist views of colonial political economy, and the dispute of the New World created the conditions for the development of a Creole patriotic discourse of natural history. This new discourse permeated even Creole aesthetics. Paintings of “castas” during the late colonial period reveal not only the ability of subalterns to flaunt colonial policies bent on keeping them separate and distinct racial estates but also the new Creole taste of exalting the natural and botanical wonders of their lands(see figure 1).\textsuperscript{16}

Among the first to turn natural history into patriotic scholarship were Creole Jesuits in exile in Italy. Juan de Velasco, Felipe Gómez de Vidaurre, Francisco Clavijero, and Ignacio Molina wrote in Italy treatises of natural history containing rather exhaustive inventories of the bountiful and unique fauna and flora of their ‘fatherlands’. The Jesuit used their natural histories to undermine Buffon’s representations of the colonies as a humid, feminine, degenerating environments. Each Jesuit went to great lengths to deny the existence of feminine quadrupeds\textsuperscript{17} and of emasculated, degenerate natives.\textsuperscript{18} Also these natural histories sought to present each colony as uniquely endowed by Providence with unlimited natural wonders and
resources. The Chilean Gómez de Vidaurre opened his natural history by arguing that “the Kingdom of Chile...is one of the countries Nature has benefited the most.”\textsuperscript{19} Juan de Velasco, on the other hand, presented his ‘fatherland’, the Kingdom of Quito, as the one that nature had most favored. “It would be impossible to understand the structure and configuration of this land without first admiring the effort made by nature to privilege it with its greatest works.” The Kingdom of Quito, Velasco insisted, “can glory itself of having features that no other part of America or any other part of the globe can equal” Quito was unique because Nature had elected it to have the highest mountain, be the source of the largest river, and enjoy the most temperate climate on earth.\textsuperscript{20}

The Jesuits also contested European histories of the origin of some of the most valuable dyes, spices, and fruits in international markets, thereby creating new histories exaggerated colonial economic potential. Velasco’s natural history typifies such a revisionist approach. According to Velasco indigo, pepper, and coconut palms had not originated in the East Indies as it had traditionally been assumed but in America’s equatorial areas; nor had the bananas and plantain of Quito come from Africa.\textsuperscript{21} Velasco seemed to have embraced the postulate of many Spanish and Creole botanists that since the East and West Indies had the same tropical climates they therefore had similar flora. This mistaken assumption led the Spanish crown to waste many resources trying to find East Indian cinnamon and clove in America in order to break the profitable monopolies of the Dutch.\textsuperscript{22}

Like the Jesuits in exile, Creoles in the colonies also highlighted the natural wonders and economic agricultural potential of their lands. Around 1790 the Creole lawyer Pedro Fermín de Vargas, who along Fray Eloy Valenzuela and Fray Diego García de Cartagena had worked for eight years (1783-1791) in the first phase of the Botanical Expedition of Nueva Granada (1783-1810) led by the Spanish physician-botanist José Celestino Mutis, presented Nueva Granada as a land of unparalleled commercial potential.\textsuperscript{23} According to Vargas, Nueva Granada enjoyed a privileged geographical location where it was possible “to find almost all the temperaments of the globe.” Colombia was a microcosm of the globe due to the multitude of ecological niches created by the Andes and to the endless agricultural cycle of its equatorial climate. It was also a potential economic leader of the world. If an enlightened ruler were to build roads and protect and increase the population to accelerate the ‘circulatory rhythms’ of the country, Colombia, according to Vargas, would be poised to supply the world with local cinnamon, clove, tea, Chinese ‘betete’ (coca), and indigo of even better quality than those that came from Asia. The coastal plains of Cartagena and Santa Marta alone would provide the cotton needed by all factories of the world.\textsuperscript{24}

In 1808 the naturalist Francisco José de Caldas offered a similar providential, utopian, and patriotic view of Colombia’s commercial destiny based on the uniqueness of Colombia’s space. Caldas, who first spent some twelve years roaming around the Andes without any institutional support on a lonely attempt to study the region’s nature and heavens, and who a few year later became one of the most prestigious scientists of the Viceroyalty of Nueva Granada, the director of the only astronomical observatory ever created by the Spaniards in the colonies, also thought that “Nueva Granada appear[ed] destined by its geographical position for universal commerce.”\textsuperscript{25} According to Caldas, Colombia was uniquely situated “in the center of the New World. To its right it ha[d] all the riches of the north, to its left all the natural productions of the south, it ha[d] ports both in the Pacific and the Atlantic and [was] in the middle of these vast oceans, far away
from the hurricanes and “cámbanos” (?) of the continental poles.” But Colombia was not only “better situated than Tiro and Alexandria” to become a commercial emporium, it also enjoyed all the climates of the world. As a microcosm of the earth, Colombia was capable of supplying the world with all it needed. “From the bosom of Nueva Granada,” Caldas insisted, “all the perfumes of Asia, African ivory, European industrial commodities, northern furs, whales from the southern seas, [in short], everything produced on the surface of our world [can be obtained].” Since Caldas was not willing to extend such generous views of nature to the rest of Spanish America, his was clearly an exercise on national identity. “Let’s agree that there is nothing better situated in the Old and New World than Nueva Granada... Can Peru, cornered in a sterile zone of the Pacific coast, or Mexico, [somewhat] better located in the confines of the torrid and temperate zones, count as we do with the prodigious number of rivers that will someday carry our riches from the center to the extremities [of the body politics]?”

Caldas also viewed the Andes as a microcosm of the globe, a place around which all the racial varieties of the world had developed, thus, a privileged space to study plants and peoples. In an article on the influence of the climate on human bodies, Caldas argued that Colombian scientists need not abandon Nueva Granada to study the impact of climate on different races. “I have then been correct in saying,” Caldas concluded, “that there are few points in the surface of the world where it is more advantageous to observe, and would even argue, to touch the influence of climate and food on the physical constitution of man, on his character, virtues, and vices [than Colombia].”

Nowhere did the trope of the Andes as microcosm of the globe resonate more loudly than in Peru. Hipólito Unanue, editor of El Mercurio Peruano (1791-1795) and a physician committed to reform, typifies the exaggerated hopes of the Peruvian intelligentsia; his work also shows the way in which nature became a space for patriotic affirmation. For Unanue Peru was “the most magnificent work Nature has ever created over the earth.” Nature’s predilection for Peru was according to Unanue multifaceted. Nature, for example, had chosen Peru to keep the balance of the planet. The massive weight of the Andean mountains had been responsible for tilting the earth’s axis and thus for the very existence of Europe which otherwise would have remained under water. Nature had also chosen Peru to become a commercial emporium because it was a land ideally suited for trade. Peru had rivers that, draining either in the Atlantic or the Pacific, linked the two oceans; the richest botanical resources of the world; and an endless agricultural cycle due to its equatorial location. It was a microcosm able to supply the world with all it needed. “It seemed” Unanue argued, “that after having created the deserts of Africa, the fragrant and lush forests of Asia, and the temperate and cold climates of Europe, God made an effort to bring together in Peru all the productions he had dispersed in the other three continents. In this manner God has sought to create [in Peru] a temple for himself worthy of his immensity, [a temple] majestically surrounded by all the treasures hidden in this kingdom.”

Among the products that Unanue thought could be used to fulfill this prophecy was coca. According to Unanue, coca was the “architónico” of the vegetable kingdom a product whose sharp, acrid particles stimulated circulation and digestion creating unlimited physical resistance. Only Peru had this vegetable and if properly marketed it could replace tea and/or coffee in the international markets. For other contributors to Mercurio Peruano such as Pedro Nolasco
Crespo, accountant of the Royal Treasury in Upper Peru, quinine was the real treasure of Peru, “one even more useful for humanity than its silver mines.”

Alongside the idea that the colonies were microcosms poised to become commercial emporiums, Creole naturalists also emphasized God’s providential design of the distribution of plants. Like many other physicians trained in classical Greek medicine, Creole physicians thought that regional herbs and human diseases tended to match because the fauna, flora, and human bodies of a region shared similar complexions, temperaments, and humoral balances; for every local disease there was a local plants to cure it. Beginning with the conquest, this view encouraged many Spanish and Creole physicians to avidly collect indigenous herbal lore while holding very negative views of the Amerindian physiology and physiopathology. It also encouraged them to articulate providential views of nature according to which this purported match of plants and diseases was attributed to God’s omnipotence and design.

In the late eighteenth century this tradition took on clear proto-national dimensions. Again, it was in Peru that this form of patriotism found its most fertile ground. Francisco González Laguna, a priest of the order of Los Agonizantes and a renowned naturalist who created the first botanical garden in Lima, set the tone when in 1794 he used the pages of Mercurio Peruano to describe God’s special generosity with Peru. González Laguna (who thought that God “spoke through the book of nature” to teach his charges humility, for the contemplation of the natural order revealed God’s omnipotence, knowledge, and charity) thought that God had been particularly generous with Peru, giving each and every one its regions the plants to satisfy the needs of its inhabitants. “In our Andes,” Laguna explained, “where poisons (ponsoñas) swarm, antidotes abound; where tertian fevers are endemic, febrifuge quinine (cinchona) prevails. Our coasts that are affected by dysentery teem with tonic herbs...[T]he deserts of Guayaquil that have no water overflow with plants that supply it in abundance.” Hipólito Unanue drew from González Laguna to elaborate further the point that God had created Peru as his temple. Thus according to Unanue, God had given Peru the world’s store of quinine because its peculiar tropical climate made the population one of the most susceptible to suffer from fevers. “We cannot do less than to thank the generous and careful hand of the Providence,” Unanue eloquently argued, “who in order to impede the depopulation of this country located the remedy next to the evil with such exactitude that in along the line that follows tertian fevers there is a parallel one in the Andes that produces quinine.”

This tradition of patriotic natural history and of exaggerated expectations culminated with Andres Bello’s Alocución a la Poesía (1823) and Agricultura de la Zona Tórrida (1826), both published in exile in England when the wars of independence had finally come to an end. In his Allocution, Bello invited “Poetry,” a beautiful and virtuous woman, to abandon overly rational and corrupt Europe for lush, virgin, and untainted torrid America where she would exercise her imagination at last. According to Bello, Poetry would find in America new themes to which to sing her praises: new pagan Amerindian mythologies, majestic landscapes, and unparalleled agricultural botanical resources, including coffee, cotton, pineapples, palms, indigo, bananas, and cacao. In Agriculture of Torrid America, Bello explored some of these same themes in greater detail describing the beauty and wonders of cacao, cochinilla, indigo, pulque, tobacco, coffee, palms, pineapple, yucca, potatoes, cotton, corn, bananas, and “parcha” (?), all of which Bello suggested had
originated in the New World. Finally, Bello called on the new nations to become republics of virtuous farmers. Drawing on Jefferson, Bello prompted the “youthful nations...[to] pay homage to the country, [to] pay homage to the plain life of the farmer and his frugal simplicity.” The new republics to prosper, be in peace, and remain virtuous should be made up of “farmers” who would not indulge in the vices and corruption associated with urban, courtly environments. The systematic exploitation of the new nations’ unmatched botanical resources, on the other hand, held for them the promise of unparalleled commercial prosperity, perpetual freedom, the end of civil wars and personal ambitions, and the beginnings of the empire of law.41

Such exaggerated views of the economic potential of the new nations through the orderly exploitation of its wondrous botanical resources proved unfounded when in the aftermath of the wars of independence the new republics plunged into each and every one of the conditions Bello had most feared: civil wars, disorganization of trade, and centrifugal regionalism. Yet, these unfulfilled views played significant ideological roles; they worked as motivating utopias for Creole patriots seeking to articulate visions of viable nations. These discourses also appear to have limited the options of Creole leaving them to follow a pattern of dependent economic development in which agricultural and mining exports took precedence over home-grown industrial development.42

NATURE AND NATIONAL KNOWLEDGE:

The creation of a “patriotic” science based on the assumption that America’s natural laws were different from those of Europe and thus that American phenomena could only be studied by Creole scientists was another important way in which nature as spatial category helped subordinate colonial elites to forge proto-national and national identities on the eve of the wars of independence.

John Harley Warner has shown that the pursuit of locally grounded forms of knowledge in the southern United States contributed to the development of the idea of a southern nation in the years leading to the Civil War. Southern states developed a secessionist national ideology that presented the South as intellectually and economically dependent on the North. On the grounds that southern medicine had long been a passive recipient of northern knowledge and that tropical climates were distinct and different from those of the north, southern physicians, the focus of Warner’s investigation, strove to create a “decolonized” medical science. Physicians founded southern journals, hospitals, and medical schools and developed a proto-national medical knowledge to assert their intellectual autonomy.43 Something similar occurred in Latin America in the late colonial and early republican periods. Creole naturalists and intellectuals assumed that tropical nature, bodies, and diseases responded to radically different natural laws from those of Europe and that only local scientists were able to identify, study, and understand local natural phenomena.

The search for separate natural laws for the tropics had its origins in a tradition that identified the New World with a land of wondrous and curious phenomena. From the beginning of Spanish colonization in the New World, bureaucrats and naturalists became particularly interested in the wonders of the New World.44 New World “exotica,” including fauna, flora, and indigenous artifacts, dazzled Renaissance European audiences and fetched considerable value in courtly cabinets of curiosities.45 Royal instructions to
collect information in the New World frequently contained sections requesting colonial bureaucrats to identify “the curiosities and oddities of the land.” This search for the “the strange, the unique, the exotic” later came to characterize the aesthetics of Spanish American Baroque. Clearly this tradition created the intellectual condition that allowed Creoles to think of the natural laws of the Indies as different from those European naturalists had established for the rest of the world.

The naturalist that best articulated the Creole propensity to create this peculiar form of ‘national’ science was the Mexican José Antonio de Alzate, editor of several periodicals and an expert astronomer and naturalist who came to dominate New Spain’s intellectual life in the late eighteenth century. Alzate, who was convinced that Mexico was “the closest copy to [paradise] that one can ever hope to get from corrupted nature” and that Mexico was a land where physicians hardly made a living because it did not have cripples, insane, and lepers and because people never got ill, lent his considerable prestige and periodicals to a form of ‘patriotic’ science. To be sure his version of national science included a defense of locally developed knowledge that European tended to belittle. Alzate opposed new mining techniques, European experts brought by the Crown to stimulate silver mining, and new botanical taxonomies introduced by Spanish naturalists to reform medical education on the grounds that Mexicans knew better. Alzate, for example, was convinced that Mexican miners, despite being "considered idiots by Europeans," had developed local technologies that were vastly superior to anything German textbooks and mineralogists (reportedly the leading authorities in the field) had to offer. By the same token, he dismissed Linnean taxonomies and encouraged the use of Nahua botanical names.

But more important from the perspective of inventing national identity grounded on the perception of a unique Mexican space was his insistence that Mexican nature could never be understood by European scholars whose laws had been devised to explain their own natural phenomena. New Spain, he argued, was full of botanical marvels that would always contradict the laws of nature devised by Europeans. “[If great European naturalists] would ever come to New Spain,” Alzate lectured his nemesis Vicente Cervantes, a Spanish naturalist who had come to Mexico to teach the Creoles modern Linnean botany and reform medical education, “how dumbfounded (absortos) would they be having to face so many and so rare [natural] productions.” “New Spain,” he concluded, “has natural phenomena that completely undermine and upset all [scientific] hypothesis.”

This view of Mexican nature led Alzate to collect curiosities to challenge the natural laws devised by Europeans. Upon arrival to Mexico City in 1791, the Spanish naturalists Antonio Pineda, one of many scientists who accompanied Alejandro Malaspina in his expedition to the Spanish possessions in the West and East Indies (1789-1794), received from Alzate a gift consisting of fishes that were viviparous and thus did not lay eggs, “a singularity to this day ignored and explicitly denied (contradicha) by the observers of nature.” “This finding” the astonished Pineda concluded, “is so new ...that this animals will shatter the laws devised by some naturalists who deny this property to all perfect fishes.” Whereas for Pineda this curiosity proved the “unlimited power of Nature,” for Alzate it was evidence to sustain his larger project of a science that only Mexicans could foster and interpret.
A second intellectual tradition that prompted the late colonial Creole intelligentsia to see their land as one responding to unique laws which they alone could identify and understand was that established by classical medicine. The theory of humors, temperaments, and complexions had long argued that bodily physiology and thus diseases depended on the climate of each region. Peruvian Creole physicians in particular took advantage of this theory to create a form of medical nationalism that maintained that their climate, bodies and diseases were singular and, thus, that only Peruvian physicians could identify and cure Peruvian diseases. Archibal Smith a British physician who worked in Lima for ten years (1826-1836) rebuked Limeño doctors, most of them trained in the new School of Medicine and Surgery of San Fernando by Hipólito Unanue, the doctor convinced that God had found its temple in Peru. Smith criticized Limeño doctors for their refusal to embrace universal theories of disease and therapeutics. According to Smith “[they think] that there is something occult in the climate of Lima, which only Creole physicians can sufficiently comprehend. Hence the prejudiced objection, ‘No conoce nuestro clima’—that is, he knows not our climate—is sanctioned by high professional authority.” This was the result, Smith argued, of Creole doctors’ reluctance to accept that “the laws of physiology…like those of gravitation, are the same in Peru as in other parts of the world.”

Colombia also witnessed the development of similar efforts to constitute a national science built around the singularities of Colombia’s nature. In 1812, two years after the citizens of Nueva Granada had taken advantage of Napoleon’s invasion of Spain to declare independence from Spain, Francisco José de Caldas called on his Creole compatriots to create at last a national science. “If we have shaken off the political yoke of Europe,” Caldas admonished them, “let us also shake off the scientific dependence that degrades us and that maintains us in a literary infancy more ignominious than slavery.” But Caldas had been seeking to create a national science long before 1812. Caldas, the Creole naturalist who dreamt of Colombia as a future leading commercial power of the world based on its purported wondrous microcosmic design, had long expressed his skepticism that European scientists would ever be capable of understanding American nature, for their prejudices and their rush to explore made them overlook phenomena and commit mistakes. Not even Humboldt, whom Caldas greatly admired, could be trusted; Humboldt had “mix[e]d the certain with the doubtful” in his reports about Colombia.

Caldas sought to create a patriotic science based on the particularities of Colombia’s nature. Since Colombia was a microcosm of the globe, Caldas encouraged local scientists to benefit from such comparative advantage and study the causes of human racial variations without having to leave Nueva Granada. Colombia was also a favored space for the study plant distribution, so Caldas made the study of Andean bio-geography and of the Andes’ multiple and distinct ecological niches the centerpiece of his own research agenda. Finally, Caldas thought that Colombia’s central location made it a privileged space in which to study the heavens. This comparative advantage of Colombia’s skies would according to Caldas allow Colombian scientists to offset their technological deficiencies and produce astronomical knowledge as good as that of the Europeans.

Just as with the tradition of utopian, providential and patriotic descriptions of America’s nature and of its economic potential, the search for a national science based on the Creole ability to identify and study the unique laws of America found its culmination in
Andres Bello. After having spent most of the years of the wars of independence in exile in England, Bello, the Venezuelan patriot who invited Poetry to come to America to praise its natural wonders, returned to become one of the leading intellectuals of Chile and the founder of Chile’s new university. Bello was a “neo-Bourbon” who thought that letters and sciences should bolster the nation-state politically and morally: politically because knowledge encouraged rationality and liberty, and morally because science kept the citizenry close to religion by demonstrating the power of God who had designed and given laws to the world; science would also keep the potentially politically active youth away from their most dangerous and wasteful sensuous passions. But Bello also sought to reform Chile’s higher education to produce Chilean science and thus to reinforce Chile’s national identity. In 1843 in the inaugural address celebrating the opening of Chile’s new university, Bello admonished his audience that “the program of the university [should] be entirely Chilean. If we borrow from Europe the deductions of science is only for them to be applied to Chile[an things]. All the proposed paths that the research of its faculty and the study of its students take [should] converge only in one center: the motherland.”

For Bello the development of “national” knowledge became an obsession. He thought that a national grammar was just as important as the codification of laws to strengthen the nation, for a codified language would thwart the development of centrifugal identities that emerge with separate dialects and languages. Bello’s search for nationally grounded forms of knowledge prompted him to create a new Castilian grammar that for the first time was not to be modeled on Latin. “The first goal of a national grammar,” Bello insisted, “is to make known the mother tongue by presenting it on its natural character and features and not under foreign forms.” As he himself had done with Chile’s grammar, Bello called on Chilean physicians to depart from foreign models and to create an autonomous, decolonized science. He urged Chilean physicians to take advantage of the fact that bodies and diseases of Chile were unique because Chile’s climate was also unique. Addressing the members of the new university in 1848 in a lecture commemorating its fifth anniversary, Bello asked his audience rhetorically: “Shall we look for the hygiene and pathology of the Chilean people in European books, and not study the degree to which the organization of the human body is modified by the accidents of the Chilean climate and customs? Can such a necessary study be made elsewhere than in Chile?”

In the late colonial and early republican periods, Creole awareness of the singularity of natural phenomena in the Indies led to a distinct form of proto-nationalism. Creole naturalists argued that the laws of nature in America were different from those in Europe; furthermore they saw each of the soon-to-be independent republics as having their own natural laws. Therefore, Creole naturalists insisted, only those living in the land were able to identify and explain local natural phenomena. These views contributed to creating proto-national and national sentiments among the literate elites in two different but related ways. On the one hand, they stimulated ‘national’ perceptions of colonial spaces creating, reinforcing, and naturalizing differences and boundaries among different geo-political units. On the other hand they encouraged the creation of distinct scientific communities whose very founding helped reinforce separate national identities.
NATURE AS A SPACE WHERE THE NATION IS RHETORICALLY CONSTITUTED

The very way in which late colonial natural histories were written helped to consolidate proto-national discourses. Naturalists constituted the nation rhetorically in their writings by presenting each colony as homogenous and continuous spaces.

In exchange for a reality of poorly integrated economic spaces and of regional, provincial geographical isolation, Creole naturalists offered in their writings fully integrated, continuous, and homogenous proto-national spaces. Juan de Velasco’s natural history of the kingdom of Quito is a case in point. Despite the fact that in the late colonial period the Audiencia de Quito was a loosely integrated economic and geographical space, Velasco offered in his work a rather different image of it. As he described the local fauna and flora, Velasco spent some time enumerating the small and hitherto unnoticed trades that had flourished around the exploitation of particular plants, minerals, and animals. Velasco thus presented Quito’s colonial space as a quilt made up of endlessly overlapping local commercial circuits. But perhaps more important from our perspective, Velasco fashioned a continuous, homogenous version of proto-national space. Velasco offered endless lists of rivers, lakes, mountains, trees, plants, quadrupeds, birds, reptiles, and insects without classifying them according to their places of origin; he thus joined the disjointed areas of Quito rhetorically. That this was a conscious choice can be seen from Velasco’s description of local fishes. In a sudden and late switch in his cataloging strategy (he described fishes last), Velasco offered an inventory of fishes organized according to their places of origin (i.e., coast, mountains, and tropical forests). Regional lists of fishes notwithstanding, Velasco sought to present Quito as a single, homogenous, and continuous space.

Like Velasco, the Jesuit Francisco Clavijero organized his natural history survey of Mexico by doing away with the regional origins of fauna and flora, thus constituting Mexico into a homogeneous and continuous space. Clavijero and Velasco’s strategy contrasts somewhat with the one pursued by the Jesuit Felipe Gómez de Vidaurre’s natural history of Chile. Unlike Clavijero and Velasco, Vidaurre introduced a radical spatial discontinuity in his natural history by cataloging natural resources according to their regional origins. Vidaurre catalogued separately the lakes, rivers, minerals, quadrupeds, reptiles, fishes, insects, and amphibians of the provinces of Cuyo and of Chile proper, fragmenting Chile’s colonial space. Yet Vidaurre did present the resources of each of these two provinces without further emphasizing regional distinctions.

Another form Creole naturalists used to invent proto-national spaces rhetorically was their attempt to present their discipline as a trope of the nation. They offered their small communities as examples of what unified national polities could accomplish and encouraged the practice of natural history to create and consolidate proto-national communities.

Francisco José de Caldas best typifies this rhetorical strategy. As a project that could only be carried out through collective endeavors, the practice and discourse of natural history was, according to Caldas, a laboratory in civic participation, an exercise in patriotic unity. In the process of cataloging the colonial space, all social estates would leave aside their differences, collaborating to chart and map all the resources of the land. All social sectors would in turn see their interests reflected in the final outcome one which
would give them information to satisfy their particular needs. The very act of mapping, cataloging, and surveying the land would bring all provinces together into a unified nation. The teaching of geography in public schools would create citizens whose interests would no longer be narrowly provincial and who would be aware of the potential economic benefits for the nation of integrating and complementing the diverse and different natural resources of their locales. Finally, the recording in each town’s public spaces of their geographical location would constantly and eternally remind their citizens of their situation relative to others in the homogenous space of the nation. Caldas dreamt of having a stone carved with data about Popayán, his birth place, including its elevation above sea level, latitude, longitude, temperature, atmospheric pressure, and magnetic dip so as “to make each individual in the city conscious of his spatial relation relative to the country and his own neighbors.”

CONCLUSIONS

The Creole views of nature that I have sketched contributed significantly to the development of Creole nationalism. They provided a deeply emotional language, sometimes difficult to separate from the religious, that helped Creoles imagine and define distinct, separate national spaces. The language Creole naturalists used to refer to their own colonies as microcosms, areas providentially designed by God, or even temples of the deity created strong emotional attachment to spaces, not only imagined political communities. Creole naturalists offered utopian discourses that confirmed Creole patriots’ belief that the new nations were viable economic-political units; they also promoted hopes that each proto-national space was poised to become a major commercial emporium. These deeply religious, utopian views were not merely linked to the development of a rather vague sense of American exceptionalism and identity. Rather these views hinged upon clearly national, territorialized perceptions of space. Although America at large was presented as continent of natural wonders, Creole naturalists described and crafted singular local territories, proto-national spaces each one different from the rest and exceptional. The very singularity of each colonial space allowed them to call for the development of localized and distinct sciences, which in turn, reinforced the senses of distinctiveness and difference. Finally, each one of these spaces were imagined as continuous, homogenous, and fully integrated.
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